1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 CHRISTOPHER W. CHICK, 4 NO. CV-11-0157-WFN Plaintiff, 5 6 -VS-DAPHNE K. CHICK, 7 WITHOUT PREJUDICE Defendant, 8 9 10 Plaintiff, proceeding in forma pauperis, previously filed a pro se Complaint (ECF 11 No 5). Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, district courts are required to screen pro se complaints for legal and factual sufficiency. The Court conducted the required screening and on 12 September 1, 2011, determined that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to comply with Rule 8(a) of 13 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff was ordered to file an amended complaint 14 within 30 days of the September 1, 2011, Order. Plaintiff was warned that failure to do so 15 would result in the dismissal of his Complaint without prejudice. Plaintiff was also notified 16 17 that he could move the Court for an extension of time to file his amended complaint and the 18 Court would grant an extension for good cause. 19 More than 30 days have passed since the Court's September 1, 2011, Order. Plaintiff has failed to respond. Accordingly, 20 IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's action is DISMISSED without prejudice. 21 22 The District Court Executive is directed to file this Order, enter judgment accordingly, 23 provide copies to Plaintiff, and CLOSE THIS FILE. 24 **DATED** this 3rd day of October, 2011. 25 s/ Wm. Fremming Nielsen SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 26 10-03-11

ORDER